By synchronizing neuronal activity, electrical transmission influences the coordination, pattern, and/or frequency of firing. In the hermaphroditic marine-snail, Aplysia californica, the neuroendocrine bag cell neurons use electrical synapses to synchronize a 30 min afterdischarge of action potentials for the release of reproductive hormone. During the afterdischarge, protein kinase C (PKC) is activated, although its impact on bag cell neuron electrical transmission is unknown. This was investigated here by monitoring electrical synapses between paired cultured bag cell neurons using dual whole-cell recording. Voltage clamp revealed a largely voltage-independent junctional current, which was enhanced by treating with a PKC activator, PMA, before recording. We also examined the transfer of presynaptic action potential-like waveforms (generated in voltage clamp) to the postsynaptic cell (measured in current clamp). For control pairs, the presynaptic spike-like waveforms mainly evoked electrotonic potentials; however, when PKC was triggered, these stimuli consistently produced postsynaptic action potentials. To assess whether this involved changes to postsynaptic responsiveness, single bag cell neurons were injected with junctional-like current mimicking that evoked by a presynaptic action potential. Unlike control neurons, which were less likely to spike, cells in PMA always fired action potentials to the junctional-like current. Furthermore, PKC activation increased a postsynaptic voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) current, which was recruited even by modest depolarization associated with an electrotonic potential. Whereas PKC inhibits gap junctions in most systems, bag cell neurons are rather unique, as the kinase potentiates the electrical synapse; in turn, this synergizes with augmented postsynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) current to promote synchronous firing.
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**Significance Statement**

Electrical coupling is a fundamental form of communication. For the bag cell neurons of Aplysia, electrical synapses coordinate a prolonged burst of action potentials known as the afterdischarge. We looked at how protein kinase C, which is upregulated with the afterdischarge, influences information transfer across the synapse. The kinase activation increased junctional current, a remarkable finding given that this enzyme is largely considered inhibitory for gap junctions. There was also an augmentation in the ability of a presynaptic neuron to provoke postsynaptic action potentials. This increased excitability was, in part, due to enhanced postsynaptic voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) current. Thus, protein kinase C improves the fidelity of electrotonic transmission and promotes synchronous firing by modulating both junctional and membrane conductances.

**Introduction**

Electrical synapses link the cytoplasm of neurons via paired hemichannels known as gap junctions (Sohl et al., 2005; McCracken and Roberts, 2006). Because gap junctions permit the movement of intracellular solutes, particularly ionic current, electrical coupling promotes both synchronously firing and feedforward excitation (Bennett, 2000; Bennett and Zukin, 2004). For example, inhibitory interneurons in rat neocortex achieve spike synchrony through electrical coupling (Galarreta and Hestrin, 1999; Gibson et al., 1999). Feedforward excitation, where presynaptic action potentials drive postsynaptic firing via an electrical synapse, is apparent in olfactory lobe periodic waves of the garden slug, Limax maximus (Ermentrout et al., 2004), as well as slow excitation of ink motor neurons in the sea snail, Aplysia californica (Carew and Kandel, 1977).

Electrical synapses also feature prominently in neuroendocrine cell networks, where synchronous recruitment leads to...
simultaneous bursting and collective hormone release into the bloodstream (Bosco et al., 2011; Magoski, 2017). Hence, by coordinating suprachiasmatic or hypothalamic neurons, electrical coupling plays a role in fundamental processes, such as circadian rhythms, lactation, parturition, and ovulation (Belin and Moos, 1986; Long et al., 2005; Ma et al., 2015). In *Aplysia*, ovulation is governed by a group of electrically coupled neuroendocrine cells called bag cell neurons (Conn and Kaczmarek, 1989; Zhang and Kaczmarek, 2008). Located in two clusters at the rostral end of the abdominal ganglion, bag cell neurons respond to brief cholinergic synaptic input with a synchronous 30 min burst of action potentials termed the afterdischarge (Kupfermann and Kandel, 1970; White and Magoski, 2012). During this burst, the neurons release egg-laying peptide hormone into the circulation, which brings about a series of behaviors leading to the deposition of fertilized eggs (Arch and Smock, 1977; Stuart et al., 1980; Michel and Wayne, 2002; Hickey et al., 2013).

The cholinergic input that evokes the afterdischarge causes relatively rapid action potentials from a resting membrane potential of ~−60 mV (Kaczmarek et al., 1982; White and Magoski, 2012). Once the chemical synaptic input has ceased, the neurons depolarize to ~−40 mV and fire a fast-phase of taller and slightly broader spikes at ~5 Hz for ~1 min; subsequently, the rate falls to ~1 Hz for ~30 min during a slow phase, with action potential height and width increasing further (Kaczmarek et al., 1982; Sturgeon and Magoski, 2016). The afterdischarge represents the synchronous firing of all neurons, with simultaneous intracellular recordings always revealing cells spiking in unison (Kupfermann and Kandel, 1970; Blankenship and Hasksin, 1979; Dargaei et al., 2014). Additionally, in *vivo* coupling can be recapitulated between pairs of bag cell neurons in *vivo* (Dargaei et al., 2014, 2015).

Once initiated, the afterdischarge is maintained by modulating various ion channels through second messengers and kinases (Conn and Kaczmarek, 1989; Zhang and Kaczmarek, 2008). This includes protein kinase C (PKC), which is triggered 1–2 min after onset, during the transition from fast to slow phase (Conn et al., 1989; Wayne et al., 1999). PKC causes the insertion of additional voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channels into the membrane, which increases Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and boosts secretion (DeRiemer et al., 1985b; Strong et al., 1987; Zhang et al., 2008; Groten and Magoski, 2015). However, any role for PKC in regulating bag cell neuron gap junctions is unknown.

Here, we show that activating PKC enhances junctional current and electrical transmission between coupled cultured bag cell neurons; specifically, there is a greater propensity for presynaptic activity to cause postsynaptic spiking. We also find that PKC induces an increase in postsynaptic voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) current, which is recruited by electrotonic signaling to push the neuron past the firing threshold. Historically, PKC has been found to inhibit most gap junctions (for review, see Lampe and Lau, 2000, 2004; Pogoda et al., 2016), thus, our results provide a potentially novel instance of PKC augmenting junctional and membrane current to encourage feedforward excitation. This change in electrical transmission would ensure *Aplysia* reproduction by improving the spread and coordination of action potentials during the afterdischarge.

**Materials and Methods**

*Animals and primary cell culture of Aplysia bag cell neurons.* Adult *A. californica* (a hermaphroditic) weighing 150–500 g were obtained from Marinus and housed in an ~300-L aquarium containing continuously circulating, aerated artificial sea water (Instant Ocean; Aquarium Systems) at 15°C on a 12/12 h light/dark cycle and fed Romaine lettuce 5 times a week. All experiments were approved by the Queen’s University Animal Care Committee (protocol 1501 Magoski, 2013-041). For primary cultures of isolated bag cell neurons, animals were anesthetized by an injection of isotonic MgCl\(_2\) (~50% of body weight), the abdominal ganglion removed, and treated with neutral protease (13.33 mg/ml; 165859; Roche Diagnostics; RRID:SCR_001326) dissolved in tissue culture artificial sea water (tcASW; composition in mM as follows: 260 NaCl, 10.4 KCl, 11 CaCl\(_2\), 1.2 H\(_2\)O, 55 MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O, 15 HEPES, 1 mg/ml glucose, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, pH 7.8, with NaOH) for 18 h at 20°C–22°C. The ganglion was then rinsed in fresh tcASW for 1 h, after which the bag cell neuron clusters were dissected from their connective tissue. Using a fire-polished Pasteur pipette and gentle trituration, neurons were dispersed in tcASW onto 35 × 10 mm polystyrene tissue culture dishes (353001; Falcon/Fisher Scientific; RRID:SCR_008452). Neurons were maintained in a 14°C incubator and used within 1–3 d. Salts were from Fisher Scientific, ICN, or Sigma-Aldrich (RRID:SCR_008988).

Whole-cell, voltage- and current-clamp recording from bag cell neurons. Cells were viewed under phase contrast with a TS100-F inverted microscope (Nikon) equipped with a Nikon Plan Fluor 20× objective (NA = 0.5) or Plan Fluor extra-long working distance 40× objective (NA = 0.6). On occasion, photomicrographs (1392 × 1040 pixels) were acquired using a PixelFly USB camera (Photon Technology) and the Micro-Manager 1.4.5 plugin ([http://micro-manager.org; RRID:SCR_000415](http://micro-manager.org)) for ImageJ 1.44n ([http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/](http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/); RRID:SCR_003070) with 100–500 ms exposure times.

Membrane and junctional current and/or membrane potential were recorded using EPC-8 amplifiers (HEKA Electronics) and the tight-seal, whole-cell method (Hamil et al., 1981). Microelectrodes were pulled from a 50-mm-external diameter, 1.2-mm-internal diameter borosilicate glass capillaries (TW150F-4; World Precision Instruments), and fire-polished to a resistance of 1–2 MΩ when filled with standard bag cell intracellular saline (see below). Before seal formation, pipette junction potentials were nulled. After seal formation, the pipette capacitive current was cancelled and, following breakthrough, the whole-cell capacitive current was also cancelled, while the series resistance (3–5 MΩ) was compensated to 80% and monitored throughout the experiment. Current was filtered at 1 kHz while voltage was filtered at 5 kHz by the EPC-8 built-in Bessel filter and sampled at 2 kHz using an IBM-compatible personal computer, a Digidata 1322A analog-to-digital converter (Molecular Devices), and the Clampex acquisition program of pClamp software version 8.2 (Molecular Devices). Clampex was also used to control the membrane potential under voltage clamp and inject current in current clamp; in addition, neurons were manually set to ~60 or ~40 mV in current clamp by delivering constant bias current with the EPC-8 V-hold. Data were gathered at room temperature (20°C–22°C).

**Materials:**

*Bag cell saline.* For primary cultures of isolated bag cell neurons, animals were anesthetized by an injection of isotonic MgCl\(_2\) and then sectioned from their connective tissue. Using a fire-polished Pasteur pipette, the connective tissue was removed and the bag cell neurons were dispersed in tcASW. The bag cell neurons were cultured in nASW, composition as per tcASW but lacking glucose and antibiotics) in the bath, while the pipette was filled with standard intracellular saline (composition in mM as follows: 500 K\(^+\) -aspartate, 70 KCl, 1.25 MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O, 10 HEPES, 11 glucose, 10 reduced glutathione, 5 EGTA, 5 adenosine 5'-triphosphate 2Na\(_2\), 0.1 guanosine 5'-triphosphate Na\(_2\), pH 7.3 with KOH). Based on a calculation using WebMaxC ([http://webstandford.edu/~cotton/webmaxc5.htm](http://webstandford.edu/~cotton/webmaxc5.htm); RRID:SCR_003165), 3.75 mM CaCl\(_2\) was added to the intracellular saline to set the free Ca\(^{2+}\) at 300 mM, which corresponds to bag cell neuron resting intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) as found in prior studies (Loechner et al., 1992; Fisher et al., 1994; Magoski et al., 2000). A junctional potential of 15 mV was calculated for intracellular saline versus nASW and compensated for by subtraction offline.

In one set of experiments, voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) current was recorded in single cells. For these cases, the bath contained Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\) with a composition (in mM) as follows: 460 TEA-Cl, 10.4 CaCl\(_2\), 11 CaCl\(_2\), 1.2 Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 1.2 Na\(_2\)HCO\(_3\), 55 MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O, 15 HEPES, pH 7.8 with CsO\(_4\). The pipette was filled with a Cs\(^+\) -Asp-based intracellular (composition in mM) as follows: 70 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 11 glucose, 10 glutathione, 1.25 MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O, 5 EGTA, 500 aspartic acid; 5 adenosine 5'-triphosphate 2Na\(_2\)H\(_2\)O, and 0.1 guanosine 5'-triphosphate Na\(_2\)H\(_2\)O, pH 7.8, with CsO\(_4\) (composition in mM as follows: 500 K\(^+\) -aspartate, 70 KCl, 1.25 MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O, 10 HEPES, 11 glucose, 10 reduced glutathione, 5 EGTA, 5 adenosine 5'-triphosphate 2Na\(_2\), 0.1 guanosine 5'-triphosphate Na\(_2\), pH 7.3 with KOH).
intracellular saline versus Ca\(^{2+}\) with CsOH). A junction potential of 20 mV was calculated for this

Figure 1. Bag cell neurons are electrically coupled in culture and show a voltage-independent junctional current. A, Two electrically coupled cultured bag cell neurons under dual whole-cell current clamp using our standard K\(^{+}\)-Asp-based intracellular saline in the pipettes and nASW in the bath. A 1 nA depolarizing current injection into neuron 1 evokes action potentials (APs) (V1; bottom), resulting in ETPs in neuron 2 (V2; top). Time-base applies to both traces. Top inset, Phase-contrast photomicrograph of paired bag cell neurons in soma–soma configuration after 2 d in culture. Large processes are visible in the upper FOV. The whole-cell pipettes (pip) are slightly of focus in the lower FOV. Bottom inset, Recording configuration with both cells in whole-cell mode. B, Dual whole-cell voltage clamp of coupled bag cell neurons also using K\(^{+}\)-Asp-based intracellular saline and nASW. Both neurons are held at —60 mV, and neuron 1 is stepped from —90 to 60 mV in 200 ms, 10 mV intervals (V1; top). This evokes voltage-dependent membrane current in neuron 1 (I1; top-middle) and junctional current in neuron 2 (I2; bottom). Time-base applies to all panels. C, Plotting the average end-pulse current from neuron 2 as postsynaptic or junctional current (I-post) against the presynaptic voltage of neuron 1 (V-pre) shows a mainly linear, voltage-independent relationship. That stated, there is some modest reduction of the inward current at presynaptic voltages more positive than 0 mV. N value refers to number of pairs.
differences between two means. A repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by a test for linear trend, was used to examine an increasing or decreasing change in group data. For data that were not normally distributed, a Mann–Whitney U test was used to test for differences between two means. Differences in action potential occurrence were tested using Fisher’s exact test. An outcome was considered statistically different if the two-tailed p value was <0.05.

Results

Pairs of cultured bag cell neurons are electrically coupled and show a voltage-independent junctional current

In vivo, bag cell neurons are electrically coupled to multiple partners, making it difficult to rigorously investigate gap junction-based communication (Blankenship and Haskins, 1979; Haskins and Blankenship, 1979; Dargaei et al., 2014). Thus, to assay electrical synapses in a more restricted setting, bag cell neurons were dissociated and plated in close proximity to each other as pairs (for details, see Animals and primary cell culture of Aplysia bag cell neurons). Consistent with our prior work in this regard (Dargaei et al., 2014), after 2–3 d in vitro, pairs formed a neurite–neurite, neurite–soma, or soma–soma electrical synapse, the latter being the most common (Fig. 1A, top inset). Whole-cell recording in either voltage- or current-clamp mode was used; and with one exception (for details, see Bag cell neuron voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ current is enhanced by PKC activation), measurements were made with nASW in the bath and our standard K$^+$-Asp-based intracellular saline in the recording pipettes (for details, see Whole-cell, voltage- and current-clamp recording from bag cell neurons). Neurons were identified as neuron 1 and neuron 2, with the protocols run using neuron 1 as presynaptic (receiving test potentials or current pulses) and neuron 2 as postsynaptic (reporting the junctional current or the effect of junctional current on membrane voltage).

To demonstrate the presence of an electrical synapse, a 1 nA, 125 ms depolarizing current was injected into neuron 1 under current clamp while also monitoring the membrane potential of neuron 2 (Fig. 1A, bottom inset). This elicited action current in neuron 1 (I1; middle) and evoked an ETP in neuron 2, which is first set to −60 mV under current clamp with bias current (V2; bottom). The action current initially presents rapid inward current (Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ current), which is quickly obscured by the large outward K$^+$ current. B, C, Waveforms corresponding to the action potential during the fast phase (B) or slow phase (C) of the afterdischarge, both from a holding potential of −40 mV, again evoke action currents in neuron 1 as well as produce ETPs (essentially spikelets) in neuron 2, which is also originally set to −40 mV. The slow-phase ETP is slightly broader. A–C, Time-base applies to the top, middle, and bottom.

Figure 2. Presynaptic stimulation with action potential-like waveforms shows electrotonic transmission between coupled bag cell neurons. With neuron 1 voltage-clamped and neuron 2 current-clamped in a pair of electrically coupled bag cell neurons in vitro (inset), delivery of action potential-like waveforms from specific phases of the afterdischarge (to neuron 1) evokes electronic responses in the coupled partner (neuron 2). A, A spike-like waveform delivered from −60 mV under voltage clamp, mimicking the input stimulus of the afterdischarge (V1; top), elicits an action current in neuron 1 (I1; middle) and evokes an ETP in neuron 2, which is first set to −60 mV under current clamp with bias current (V2; bottom). The action current initially presents rapid inward current (Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ current), which is quickly obscured by the large outward K$^+$ current. B, C, Waveforms corresponding to the action potential during the fast phase (B) or slow phase (C) of the afterdischarge, both from a holding potential of −40 mV, again evoke action currents in neuron 1 as well as produce ETPs (essentially spikelets) in neuron 2, which is also originally set to −40 mV. The slow-phase ETP is slightly broader. A–C, Time-base applies to the top, middle, and bottom.
very rapid onset that was essentially proportional to the test potential magnitude (Fig. 1B, bottom). This corresponds to the current passed by the voltage clamp necessary to keep neuron 2 at $60\text{ mV}$, and thus represents the junctional current flowing across the electrical synapse. Plotting the mean steady-state postsynaptic coupled bag cell neurons action potential-like waveforms were delivered to neuron 1 under voltage clamp, and the resulting change in the membrane potential of neuron 2 was measured under current clamp. By controlling presynaptic voltage, the influence of kinases or blockers on the transfer of electrical information can be directly evaluated, allowing for differentiating between modulation of junctional versus presynaptic or postsynaptic membrane conductance. Moreover, any changes to presynaptic threshold or excitability, including how the presynaptic neuron responds to depolarizing current injection before and during an action potential, can be prevented from confounding the assay.

**Action potential-like waveforms are electrically transmitted from voltage-clamped presynaptic to current-clamped postsynaptic coupled bag cell neurons**

During the afterdischarge, changes to electrical transmission are likely context specific, with respect to both the shape of the action potential at different phases and what second messengers or effectors, such as PKC, are activated. Thus, rather than simply driving presynaptic spikes and recording the postsynaptic response, action potential-like waveforms were delivered to neuron 1 during the fast phase and slow phase of the afterdischarge itself.
Gap junction blockers attenuate junctional current and electrical transmission between bag cell neurons

Gap junctions can be blocked by a variety of compounds, including some arylaminobenzoxoates (Harks et al., 2001; Srivinas and Spray, 2003). To be certain that the transmission observed under the voltage-clamp/current-clamp configuration was electrical, gap junction blockers previously established as effective on junctional current between coupled bag cell neurons were used, specifically, NPPB and NFA (Dargaei et al., 2014). Initially, the ability of these reagents to inhibit junctional current was confirmed under voltage clamp, where both neurons were held at −60 mV and the voltage in neuron 1 was stepped to −90 mV for 200 ms while maintaining neuron 2 at −60 mV (Fig. 3A, top and bottom middle). The resulting junctional current in neuron 2 was measured at steady state before and 10 min after introducing 100 μM of either NPPB (n = 5) or NFA (n = 4). The junctional current was clearly diminished (Fig. 3A, bottom), whereas the membrane current in neuron 1 was minimally altered (Fig. 3A, top-middle). On average, the reduction in junctional current with NPPB or NFA was ~75% and found to be significant (Fig. 3B).

Next, the impact of gap junction blockers was evaluated under presynaptic voltage clamp and postsynaptic current clamp. Neuron 1 received either the input- (Fig. 3C, left), fast- (Fig. 3D, left), or slow-phase (Fig. 3E, left) action potential-like waveform, and the voltage transfer to neuron 2 was monitored. In control, postsynaptic ETPs were generated in response to all three presynaptic stimuli; however, after delivery of 100 μM of either NPPB (n = 5) or NFA (n = 4 input, slow; n = 5 fast), the electrical transmission was markedly lowered. The outcome of comparing the group data before and after NPPB or NFA was a significant, 70%–80% decrease in the ETP evoked by all three waveforms (Fig. 3C–E, right).

PKC activation enhances junctional current

Shortly after the onset of the afterdischarge, PKC activity is elevated and stays as such for the duration of the burst (Conn et al., 1989; Wayne et al., 1999). PKC has been shown to phosphorylate connexin proteins and alter electrical coupling in different cells (Enomoto et al., 1981; Sáez et al., 1990; Spray and Burt, 1990; Lampe et al., 2000). Here, we tested the effect of the phorbol ester, PMA, a PKC activator that is well established as effective in whole *Aplysia* nervous tissue and bag cell neurons (Castagna et al., 1982; DeRiemer et al., 1985a; Azhderian and Kaczmarek, 1991; Sossin et al., 1993). For junctional current, neuronal pairs were initially treated with DMSO (the vehicle) or 100 nM PMA for 20–30 min, and then voltage-clamped at −60 mV (Fig. 4A, inset). Subsequent to establishing whole-cell mode, neuron 1 was then pulsed to −90 mV to evoke a junctional current in neuron 2. Compared with DMSO (n = 12), the current was more than two-fold greater with PMA (n = 10) (Fig. 4A, B); the summary data showed this difference to be significant (Fig. 4E).

To control for any off-target effects of PMA, we also used 4-α-phorbol, an inactive PMA analog that has been found to be ineffective at turning on *Aplysia* PKC in both biochemical and
physiological assays (DeRiemer et al., 1985a, b; Zhang et al., 2002). Pairs of cultured bag cell neurons were incubated for 20 min in 100 nM of either 4-α-phorbol or PMA, followed by whole-cell voltage clamp at −40 mV. Rather than assay the junction with a single test voltage, neuron 1 was stepped in 200 ms, 10 mV intervals from −90 to 0 mV (Fig. 4C, top) while maintaining neuron 2 at −60 mV (Fig. 4C, middle). This elicited a series of linear junctional currents that were relatively modest in 4-α-phorbol ($n = 10$) (Fig. 4C, bottom), but considerably greater in PMA ($n = 28$) (Fig. 4D). When the junctional currents were averaged and plotted against the presynaptic test potential, a comparison found the difference between the two conditions to be significant at each voltage (Fig. 4F). Accordingly, the slope of the current–voltage relationship was at least twice as steep in PMA compared with 4-α-phorbol, consistent with a PKC-induced increase in junctional conductance.

Because PKC activation can impact membrane conductances (see Bag cell neuron voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ current is enhanced by
PKC activation (DeRiemer et al., 1985b; Strong et al., 1987; Zhang et al., 2002, 2008), changes to the presynaptic membrane could influence junctional current measurements. In an effort to account for this, we also measured the end-pulse membrane current in neuron 1 during the 200 ms steps while holding neuron 2 at −60 mV. Specifically, the analysis examined the presynaptic membrane current between −90 and −40 mV, which represents the voltage-independent portion of the membrane conductance. Beyond −40 mV, the membrane conductance is strongly governed by voltage-dependent channels (again, see below). Comparing 4-α-phorbol (n = 10) to PMA (n = 28) found no significant difference in the membrane current of neuron 1 (−90 mV: −205 ± 52 pA in 4-α-phorbol vs −280 ± 52 pA in PMA, t(11) = 1.369, p > 0.05; −80 mV: −120 ± 38 pA in 4-α-phorbol vs −140 ± 11 pA in PMA, t(10) = 0.504, p > 0.05; −70 mV: −60 ± 20 pA in 4-α-phorbol vs −62 ± 5 pA in PMA, t(10) = 0.833, p > 0.05; −50 mV: 80 ± 21 pA in 4-α-phorbol vs 77 ± 5 pA in PMA, t(10) = 0.142, p > 0.05; −40 mV: 191 ± 45 pA in 4-α-phorbol vs 153 ± 11 pA in PMA, t(10) = 0.822, p > 0.05).

PKC activation augments electrical transmission To see whether the PKC-induced change in junctional current impacted the electrical transmission of voltage signals, pairs were examined using presynaptic voltage clamp and postsynaptic current clamp (Fig. 5A, left inset). Because PKC is not turned on until the afterdischarge has started (Wayne et al., 1999), only the fast- and slow-phase action potential-like waveforms were applied to neuron 1 voltage-clamped at −40 mV (Fig. 5A, middle and right insets) while recording the membrane potential response in neuron 2, initially set to −40 mV with bias current. In DMSO (n = 10), neuron 2 most often displayed only an ETP when neuron 1 received either waveform and fired an action potential only approximately one-third of the time (Fig. 5A,B, left). However, if PKC was activated with 100 nM PMA beforehand (n = 11), then approximately three-fourths of the time neuron 2 exhibited spikes that clearly overshot 0 mV when neuron 1 was given the stimuli (Fig. 5A,B, right). This difference in the instances of action potential occurrence was significant (Fig. 5C).

We also tested whether repetitive presynaptic stimulation was effectively relayed to the postsynaptic cell by supplying neuron 1 with two fast- or slow-phase spike-like waveforms at the physiological rate of 5 or 1 Hz, respectively (for details, see Introduction). For DMSO (n = 10), neuron 2 fired dual action potentials with successive fast- or slow-phase stimuli in 20% and 40% of cases, respectively. On the other hand, exposure to 100 nM PMA (n = 11) led to neuron 2 spiking twice in 55% and 90% of instances. Figure 5D provides a PMA-treated example of a double postsynaptic action potential response to two presynaptic slow-phase spike-like waveforms. This difference in the occurrence of dual action potential firing was significant for the slow- but not the fast-phase stimuli (Fig. 5E).

Finally, to again control for any off-target effects of PMA, neuronal pairs were treated for 20 min with 100 nM of either 4-α-phorbol or PMA, and the single fast- or slow-phase action potential-like waveforms were delivered to neuron 1. With 4-α-phorbol (n = 10), neuron 2 always failed to reach threshold to the fast-phase stimulus or fired a spike only a fifth of the time to the slow-phase stimulus (Fig. 5F, left, G). As expected, with PMA (n = 26), both the fast- and slow phase stimulus evoked a postsynaptic action potential in 65% and 90% of pairs tested (Fig. 5F, right, G). The difference in the ability of the two stimuli to produce spikes in neuron 2 was significant between 4-α-phorbol and PMA (Fig. 5G).

A hallmark of the bag cell neuron action potential is that, when evoked from a resting potential of −60 mV, it presents clear use-dependent broadening (Kaczmarek and Strumwasser, 1981; Kaczmarek et al., 1982; Whim and Kaczmarek, 1998). The broadening effect was mimicked by giving neuron 1 the input-phase action potential-like waveform 10 times, with the half-width of each stimulus after the first waveform increasing by 1 ms (Fig. 6A, top). Following a 20–30 min treatment with either DMSO or 100
nm PMA, this stimulus train was presented to pairs where neuron 1 was held at −60 mV in voltage clamp and neuron 2 originally set to −60 mV under current clamp (Fig. 6A, inset). In DMSO (n = 7), the broadening waveforms in neuron 1 evoked ETPs from neuron 2 that modestly grew in amplitude over time (Fig. 6A, middle). By comparison, when PKC was activated (n = 6), the ETPs became noticeably larger as the stimulus train proceeded (Fig. 6A, bottom). The progressive increase in ETP magnitude with PMA was found to be a significant linear trend; conversely, the postsynaptic response to the stimulus train in DMSO did not trend significantly away from a slope of zero (Fig. 6B). Moreover, in two additional pairs, when PKC was activated, neuron 2 fired action potentials approximately halfway through the stimulus train, with each subsequent ETP reaching threshold (Fig. 6C).

**Activating PKC enhances the responsiveness of bag cell neurons to a junctional current-like stimulus**

The enhancement of electrical transmission by PKC may reflect a greater junctional current (Fig. 4) or a change in postsynaptic responsiveness. To investigate the latter, we examined junctional current-like stimulus was used to test bag cell neuron excitability following PKC activation. This stimulus was derived by quantifying the junctional current between coupled bag cell neurons elicited by the presynaptic fast-phase action potential-like waveform. We choose to focus on the fast-phase because, following PMA treatment, waveforms based on both the fast- and slow-phase spike were essentially equal in their ability to provoke postsynaptic firing (Fig. 5C). Under dual voltage clamp, both cells were held at −40 mV, and neuron 1 received the waveform stimulus (Fig. 7A, top), eliciting a junctional current in neuron 2 (n = 11) (Fig. 7A, bottom). This represents the current that flows through the gap junction from neuron 1 to neuron 2 in the absence of PKC activation. The average peak amplitude of the junctional current was shown to be −300 pA, with an area of −10 nA × ms (Fig. 7B).

At this point, an approximation of the fast-phase junctional current was applied to single bag cell neurons initially set to −40 mV under current clamp. Based on a depolarizing version of the aforementioned average (compare Fig. 7A, bottom with Fig. 7C, top), the current was ramped from 0 to 300 pA in 20 ms and then back down to 0 pA in 60 ms. Neurons were treated with either DMSO or 100 nM PMA for 20–30 min before recording. Neurons in DMSO (n = 9) displayed action potential firing in response to the fast-phase junctional current injection 44% of the time (Fig. 7C, middle). In contrast, cells given PMA (n = 8) always fired action potential in response to the stimulus (Fig. 7C, bottom). Not only was the occurrence of action potentials significantly higher with PMA (Fig. 7D), but so too were spike amplitude and area (Fig. 7E, F).

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** Following PKC activation, a fast-phase junctional current-like stimulus evokes action potentials more frequently. **A.** Under dual whole-cell voltage clamp (inset), both bag cell neurons of a cultured electrically coupled pair are held at −40 mV. A fast-phase junctional current-like waveform stimulus applied to the presynaptic neuron (V1; top) induces an inward junctional current in the postsynaptic neuron (I2; bottom). Time-base also applies to top panel. **B.** Summary data show that the junctional current evoked by the fast-phase spike-like waveform has a peak amplitude of −300 pA (left) and an area of −10 nA × ms (right). N values indicate number of pairs. **C.** Single bag cell neurons are current-clamped (inset) with the membrane potential initially set to −40 mV, and a depolarizing (positive) form of the fast-phase (top) junctional current is injected. In neurons given DMSO for 20–30 min before recording, the junction-like current injection induces an ETP (gray trace; middle) 56% of the time and an action potential (black trace; middle) 44% of the time. However, for a separate neuron, after 100 nM PMA, the stimulus always evokes a spike (bottom). Scale bars apply to the middle and bottom panels; abscissa also applies to the top panel. **D.** Summary data of action potential occurrence show that PMA is present. A significant difference in spiking in response to the fast-phase current injection (RR = 1.67, *p < 0.05; Fisher’s exact test). N values indicate number of individual neurons recorded following DMSO or PMA. **A, B, C, E, F, n** values indicate number of individual neurons recorded following DMSO or PMA treatment. **E, F.** The average action potential amplitude (D) or area (E) is significantly greater when PKC is activated by PMA (amplitude, t_{15} = 2.531, *p < 0.04; area, t_{15} = 3.333, *p < 0.009; both unpaired Student’s t test).

**Bag cell neuron voltage-gated Ca²⁺ current is enhanced by PKC activation**

It appeared that, in addition to altering junctional current, PMA heightened postsynaptic responsiveness. To look at the mechanism of this change in responsiveness, we performed a simple assay of input resistance in individual bag cell neurons treated for 20–30 min with DMSO or 100 nM PMA before whole-cell current clamp measurements. Cells were first set to −40 mV with bias current and then injected with a −50 pA, 1 ms square pulse of negative current. The resulting steady-state hyperpolarization was then used to determine input resistance. There was no significant difference between the input resistance of neurons in DMSO (n = 9; 172 ± 41 MΩ) versus PMA (n = 8; 220 ± 59 MΩ) (t_{15} = 0.6839, p > 0.05; unpaired Student’s t test).

A lack of an effect on input resistance suggested that PMA may modify excitability by impacting active, rather than passive, membrane properties. In keeping with this, the afterdischarge is accompanied by a PKC-dependent increase in voltage-gated Ca²⁺ current, due to the membrane insertion of a second type of Ca²⁺ channel (DeRiemer et al., 1985b; Strong et al., 1987; Zhang et al., 2008). Thus, there is a distinct possibility that a change in postsynaptic Ca²⁺ current contributes to the enhanced postsynaptic responsiveness and electrical transmission following PKC activation in paired bag cell neurons. The ability of PKC to boost Ca²⁺ current was confirmed here by bathing single bag cell neurons in Ca²⁺-Cs⁺-TEA ASW and using a Cs⁺-Asp-based intracellular saline under whole-cell voltage clamp (Fig. 8A, inset) (for
Within the context of electrical transmission, it was important to determine whether the ETP was capable of recruiting Ca\(^{2+}\) current, and what impact PKC may have on this outcome. This was evaluated by delivering a fast-phase ETP-like waveform under voltage clamp: a voltage waveform was constructed similar in shape and size to the postsynaptic ETP produced in response to the presynaptic fast-phase action potential-like stimulus in DMSO/control conditions. Specifically, the membrane potential was ramped from −40 to −25 mV in 35 ms, followed by holding at −25 mV for 10 ms, then ramping to −40 mV in 85 ms (Fig. 8C, top). The waveform was applied to single whole-cell voltage-clamped neurons recorded with the same solutions described above for isolating Ca\(^{2+}\) current. After DMSO treatment, the ETP-like waveform was capable of eliciting a modest Ca\(^{2+}\) current of a couple of hundred pA (n = 7), whereas the response was clearly bigger in PMA (n = 6) (Fig. 8C, bottom). On average, this enhancement was again approximately three-fold and significantly different from control (Fig. 8D).

**Discussion**

Synchronized interactions mediated by electrical coupling are common for neuroendocrine cells from many species (for review, see Bosco et al., 2011; Magoski, 2017). In *Aplysia*, when cholinergic input from higher centers triggers a bag cell neuron after-discharge, it is synchronous due to electrical synapses within the cluster, and leads to the aggregate release of egg-laying hormone (Kupfermann and Kandel, 1970; Blankenship and Hasksins, 1979; Stuart et al., 1980; Michel and Wayne, 2002; White and Magoski, 2015). Coordination of the burst requires reliable neuron-to-neuron action potential propagation. Thus, our finding that PKC enhances electrical transmission and feed-forward excitation between bag cell neurons is consistent with the en masse nature of the afterdischarge.

The coupling observed here is comparable with prior work by ourselves and others, where cultured bag cell neurons form electrical synapses after 1–3 d in vitro (Kaczmarek et al., 1979; Dargaei et al., 2014, 2015). Under dual voltage clamp, a presynaptic depolarizing or hyperpolarizing step evokes an almost immediate postsynaptic inward or outward current, respectively. Furthermore, under presynaptic voltage clamp and postsynaptic current clamp, the onset of the ETP is well before the peak of the action potential-like waveform. This is typical of electrical transmission, which has an ultrashort latency and usually lacks polarity (both positive and negative stimuli transfer equally); conversely, chemical transmission normally occurs only upon presynaptic depolarization and has an obvious latency, representing the time for transmitter release, diffusion, and binding (Hagiwara and Tasaki, 1958; Grundfest, 1961; Bennett et al., 1963; Katz and Miledi, 1967). Finally, the ETP is sensitive to the gap junction blockers, NPPB and NFA, which have been found to inhibit not only junctional current in bag cell neurons, but also mammalian connexins in expression systems, as well as electrical transmission between neurons from rabbit retina or the pulmonate snail, *Lymnaea stagnalis* (Harks et al., 2001; Eskandari et al., 2002; Srivinas and Spray, 2003; Pan et al., 2007; Dargaei et al., 2014; Beekharry et al., 2015).

The junctional conductance measured here lacks obvious voltage dependence between −90 and 0 mV, which agrees with our earlier efforts (Dargaei et al., 2014). However, the present study also tests potentials more positive than previously applied (10–60 mV), over which the junctional current does show modest decrease. This is not all that surprising, given that gap junctions from both the salivary gland of the midge, *Chironomus*...
thummi, and giant fiber system of the fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster, as well as various forms of heterologously expressed vertebrate connexins, are voltage-dependent (Obaid et al., 1983; Bukauskas and Verselis, 2004; Phelan et al., 2008). That aside, the voltage-dependent decrease of the bag cell neuron junctional current is weak and only apparent at potentials >0 mV, meaning it would have little impact on synchrony, even with strong depolarization or at the peak of the action potential.

Electrical synapses serve as low-pass filters, on account of the junctional current having to charge the postsynaptic membrane capacitance (Bennett and Zukin, 2004). For bag cell neurons, this results in attenuation and slowing of postsynaptic responses relative to the presynaptic voltage change. Specifically, a 70 to 80 mV presynaptic spike-like waveform is reduced to an ~20 mV postsynaptic ETP. Also, while the latency of the junctional current is certainly short, the time required to reach the peak of the ETP is still >20 ms. Consequently, the ETP evoked by either the fast- or slow-phase action potential-like waveform under control conditions elicits a postsynaptic spike <30% of the time. In the cluster, this would have implications for action potential spread and/or maintenance of synchronous firing. However, this may be offset by PKC enhancing electrical transmission and increasing the probability of postsynaptic spiking. Because PKC is upregulated 1–2 min following afterdischarge onset, it may contribute to network bursting (Conn et al., 1989; Wayne et al., 1999).

When PKC is activated by treating bag cell neuron pairs with PMA, but not DMSO (the vehicle) or 4-α-phorbol (an inactive PMA analog), the junctional conductance is increased. In particular, the slope of the junctional current–voltage relationship in PMA is approximately twice that of control, consistent with greater junctional conductance. This is unusual, given that most reports indicate PKC inhibits gap junctions (for review, see Lampe and Lau, 2000, 2004; Pogoda et al., 2016), including endogenous or heterologously expressed connexins in rat lacrimal glands, mouse pancreatic acinar cells, rat kidney cells, human FL cells, or HeLa cells (Enomoto et al., 1981; Randriamampita et al., 1988; Somogyi et al., 1989; Li et al., 1996). PKC-mediated phosphorylation of connexins, such as those from rat epithelial T51B cells, fibroblasts, v79 cells, or purified gap junction protein in proteoliposomes, correlates with inhibition (Lampe et al., 2000; Cruciani et al., 2001; Bao et al., 2004). Studies on connexin 43 show PKC reduces gap junction assembly and/or membrane half-life (Solan et al., 2003; Laird, 2005; Liao et al., 2013; Cone et al., 2014). For gap junctions from neurons or neuron-derived cell lines, such as mouse hippocampal HT22 cells, human neuroblastoma, or rodent hypothalamic and somatosensory cortical neurons, the effect of PKC is again inhibitory (Lin and Takemoto, 2007; Morley et al., 2010; Park et al., 2011). Aside from a report that PMA increases coupling between rat neonatal cardiomyocytes (Kwak et al., 1995), to the best of our knowledge, the present study is a novel instance where junctional current is enhanced by PKC activation in neurons. A possible mechanism could be greater conductance following phosphorylation of bag cell neuron gap junction channels or a longer channel lifetime at the membrane due to more recruitment and/or less retrieval.

Along with augmented junctional current, PKC activation also promotes feedforward excitation and improves the fidelity of transmission between electrically coupled bag cell neurons. Following PMA, presynaptic fast- and slow-phase action potential-like waveforms routinely evoke postsynaptic spikes from an after discharge-like membrane potential of ~40 mV. While elevated junctional current likely contributes to feedforward excitation, our data also indicate a role for changes to postsynaptic responsiveness i.e., a PKC-dependent increase in voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ current. In single bag cell neurons, triggering PKC with PMA enhances the Ca²⁺ current by three-fold, as assayed with voltage steps or, importantly, an ETP-like waveform. Moreover, when individual neurons are given a junctional current-like stimulus, they are much more likely to fire an action potential if PKC has been activated. Prior studies in bag cell neurons offer strong evidence that PKC recruits a second type of Ca²⁺ channel, Caᵥ₂, to the plasma membrane (DeRiemer et al., 1985b; Strong et al., 1987; Zhang et al., 2008). This insertion alters excitability, in so much that delivery of a suprathreshold stimulus (step current) causes taller action potentials (DeRiemer et al., 1985b; Groten and Magoski, 2015). When we provide a largely subthreshold stimulus (i.e., a synthetically induced ETP for pairs or a junctional current-like stimulus for single cells), the neurons spike far more often in PMA compared with DMSO or 4-α-phorbol controls.

Electrical coupling recruits postsynaptic currents to promote firing synchrony in other networks, such as guinea pig cerebellar interneurons and goldfish Mauthner neuron to club ending synapses, as well as rat mesencephalic trigeminal sensory neurons or thalamic reticular neurons (Mann-Metzer and Yarom, 1999; Curti and Pereda, 2004; Curti et al., 2012; Haas and Landsisman, 2012). These examples all involve a presynaptic spike generating an ETP, which in turn activates subthreshold postsynaptic voltage-gated Na⁺ current, that eventually evokes an action potential. Bag cell neurons use Ca²⁺ current rather than Na⁺ current for similar purposes. Moreover, bag cell neuron Caᵥ₂ current displays a persistent component, particularly between ~40 and ~20 mV (Tam et al., 2009). This voltage range is within what would be produced by an ETP; thus, if PKC boosts junctional and postsynaptic Caᵥ₂ current, an ETP would be more likely to cause postsynaptic firing.

Electrical synapses may support the all-or-none aspect of the bag cell neuron afterdischarge: once a high threshold is exceeded, the burst quickly spreads throughout the cluster (Kupfermann and Kandel, 1970; Brown and Mayeri, 1989). This is reflected in our prior report that gap junction blockers, NPPB and NFA, not only disrupt electrical coupling in the intact cluster, but also prevent the afterdischarge all together (Dargaei et al., 2014). The input that triggers the afterdischarge is thought to innervate at least a subset of bag cell neurons (Brown and Mayeri, 1989); therefore, the effect of PKC on junctional and voltage-gated Ca²⁺ current could aid in overcoming any low-pass filtering of action potentials and encourage electrotonic spread through the network. The production of burst firing from maintained depolarization of individual neurons in a network is also prevalent in both the escape swim circuit of the nudibranch marine snail, Tritonia diomedia, and the jaw-muscle spindle afferents of the rat trigeminal nucleus (Getting and Willows, 1974; Curti et al., 2012). For those neurons, depolarization of the population generates ETPs that eventually lead to synchronous firing. In Aplysia, we propose that enhanced feedforward excitation via electrical transmission results in regenerative firing, population synchrony, and ultimately the secretion of reproductive hormone.
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